Probability to obtain a Goldbach decomposition of an even number (Denise VellaChemla, august 2022)

## 1. An illustrative example

Let us take the example of looking for Goldbach decompositions of the even number $n=98$.

$$
S_{98}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
98 \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \\
98 \equiv 2(\bmod 3) \\
98 \equiv 3(\bmod 5) \\
98 \equiv 0(\bmod 7)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us call $d_{98}$ a potential Goldbach decomponent of $n=98 . d_{98}$ can be congruent, except 0 , to every number that $n=98$ isn't congruent to. The $\vee$ symbol in the above congruence system is to be read as an exclusive or, its extended use is to be understood as the fact to respect as many congruence systems as combinatorics permits to have (combining one possibility of each exclusive or).

$$
S_{d 98}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{98} \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
d_{98} \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\
d_{98} \equiv 1 \vee 2 \vee 4(\bmod 5) \\
d_{98} \equiv 1 \vee 2 \vee 3 \vee 4 \vee 5 \vee 6(\bmod 7)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark : we notice that obeying the system of systems of congruences is a sufficient condition but not a necessary condition to obtain a Goldbach decomponent of $n$. The demonstration of the validity of this characterization of Goldbach decomponents of an even number $n$ that are greater than $\sqrt{n}$ is provided in section 2.

What can be easily understood is that modules that don't divide $n$ "eliminate more congruence classes" ( 2 by each prime module lesser than $\sqrt{n}$ ) than modules that divide $n$. Let us take the worst case, where two congruence classes are eliminated for each prime module lesser than $\sqrt{n}$ (for $n$ an even number of the form $2^{k} p$ with $p$ prime for instance), we find all the same

$$
\prod_{\substack{p \text { prime } \\ 3 \leq p \leq \sqrt{n}}}(p-2)
$$

different congruence classes by applying the chinese remainder theorem to each of the congruence systems combinatorialy found (see $S_{d_{98}}$ above). All those solutions are lesser than $D=\prod_{\substack{p \text { prime } \\ 3 \leq p \leq \sqrt{n}}} p$.
Could it be possible to miss the targeted interval, i.e. that all solutions should be greater than $n$, between $n$ and $D$ ? In section 3, we will see that the probability to obtain at least one solution lesser than $n$ tends to 1 very quickly.

## 2. Characterization of the Goldbach decomponents of $n$ greater than $\sqrt{n}$

Let $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}+6$ be an even number greater than 6 .

[^0]For each $p \in \mathbb{P}^{*}$ an odd prime number lesser than $\sqrt{n}$ (i.e. $3 \leq p \leq \sqrt{n}$ ), let us define the set :

$$
F_{n}(p)=\{m \in 2 \mathbb{N}+1: 3 \leq m \leq n / 2, m \not \equiv 0[p], m \not \equiv n[p]\}
$$

The intersection of the sets $F_{n}(p)$ for each $p$ prime between 3 and $\sqrt{n}$ is denoted as :

$$
D_{n}=\bigcap_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{P} \\ 3 \leq p \leq \sqrt{n}}} F_{n}(p)
$$

We are going to show that $D_{n}$ and its complementary $n-D_{n}$ contain only prime numbers.
Lemma 1 : Let $m \in 2 \mathbb{N}+1$ be an odd integer. If $m$ is divisible by no prime number between 3 and $\sqrt{m}$, then it is prime.

Proof : If $m$ is composite, we have $m=p q$, where $p$ is the smallest prime number in $m$ 's canonical factorization in prime numbers and where $q$ is the product of all the other factors. Since $m$ is odd, $p \geq 3$, and since $q \geq p$ ( $q$ being the product of integers $\geq p$ ), $m=p q \geq p p=p^{2}$ and so $\sqrt{m} \geq p$ (the sqrt function being increasing). We have thus shown that if $m$ an odd number is composite, it is divisible by a prime number between 3 and $\sqrt{m}$. The lemma is obtained by contraposition.

Lemma 2: $D_{n} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$
Proof : Let $m \in D_{n}$. Then $m \in F_{n}(p)$ for all prime number $p$ between 3 and $\sqrt{n}$. Thus, $m$ is odd and $m$ is divisible by no prime number $p$ between 3 and $\sqrt{n}$ (since $m \not \equiv 0[p]$ ), and so a fortiori by no prime number between 3 and $\sqrt{m}$ (since $m \leq n / 2 \Longrightarrow m \leq n \Longrightarrow \sqrt{m} \leq \sqrt{n}$ ). By lemma $1, m$ is therefore prime.

Lemma 3: $n-D_{n} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$
Proof : Let $m \in D_{n}$. Then $m \in F_{n}(p)$ for all prime number $p$ between 3 and $\sqrt{n}$. Therefore, $n-m$ is odd (since $m$ is odd and $n$ is even) and $n-m$ is divisible by no prime number $p$ between 3 and $\sqrt{n}$ (since $m \not \equiv n[p]$ ), and thus a fortiori by no prime number between 3 and $\sqrt{n-m}$ (because $n-m \leq n \Longrightarrow \sqrt{n-m} \leq \sqrt{n}$ ). From lemma $1, n-m$ is thus prime.

The sets $D_{n}$ contain only Goldbach decomponents of $n$.
Lemma 4 : Let $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}+6$. If $D_{n} \neq \varnothing$, then $n$ verify Goldbach's conjecture.
Proof : If $D_{n} \neq \varnothing$, it contains an integer $p$ necessarily prime (from lemma 1 ), such that $q=n-p$ is also prime (from lemma 2), and so $n=p+q$ verify Goldbach's conjecture.
3. Probability $P(n, k, p)$ to pick a number lesser than or equal to $k$, without replacement, when we pick uniformly $p$ integers among the $n$ first integers.

The probability $\int^{2} P(n, k, p)$ to pick an integer lesser than or equal to $k$, without replacement, when we pick uniformly $p$ integers among the $n$ first integers is computed using the following formula:

$$
P=\frac{k}{n}+\frac{n-k}{n}\left(\frac{k}{n-1}+\frac{n-k-1}{n-1}\left(\frac{k}{n-2}+\frac{n-k-2}{n-2}\left(\ldots\left(\frac{k}{n-p+1}\right) \ldots\right)\right)\right)
$$

The first term of the sum corresponds to the fact the first number picked is lesser than $k$. The second term of the sum corresponds to the fact that the first number is greater than $k$ at the first pick, this number is not replaced (we don't have the possibility to pick it another time) and the chance is tried on the remaining numbers, probability being uniform on remaining numbers, etc.

This probability is computed for

$$
p=\prod_{\substack{x \text { premier } \\ 3 \leqslant x \leqslant \sqrt{k}}}(x-2)
$$

and

$$
n=\prod_{\substack{x \text { premier } \\ 3 \leqslant x \leqslant \sqrt{k}}} x .
$$

The following python program was used :

```
D import math
    def P(n, k, p):
        assert(1 <= p and p <= n and k <= n-p)
        s, t = 0, 1
        for i in range(p):
            s += t*(k/(n-i))
            t*= (n-k-i)/(n-i)
        return s
    for n, k, p in [(30, 26, 3),
            (210, 50, 15),
            (2310, 122, 135),
            (30030, 170, 1485),
            (510510, 290, 22275),
            (9699690, 362, 378675),
            (223092870, 530 , 7952175),
            (6469693230, 842, 214708725),
            (200560490130, 962, 6226553025)]:
        print(f'n = {n}, k = {k}, p = {p} : P_n(k,p) = {P(n, k, p)}')
n = 30, k = 26, p = 3 : P_n(k,p) = 0.9990147783251231
n = 210, k = 50, p = 15 : P n (k,p) = 0.9856514594832753
n = 2310, k = 122, p = 135 \ P_n(k,p) = 0.9994752040784769
n = 30030, k = 170, p = 1485 : P n(k,p) = 0.999824267526177
n = 510510, k = 290, p = 22275 : - P_n(k, p) = 0.9999976037996607
n = 9699690, k = 362, p = 378675 : P_n(k,p) = 0.9999994514468453
n = 223092870, k = 530, p = 7952175 : P n (k,p) = 0.9999999955788792
n=6469693230, k = 842, p = 214708725 \ P_n(k,p) = 0.9999999997119475
n = 200560490130, k = 962, p = 6226553025 \ P_n(k,p) = 0.9999999921336346
```

[^1]Let us provide its results in the following table :

| $k$ | $n$ | $k^{2}+1$ | $p$ | $P(n, k, p)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 5 | 30 | 26 | 3 | 0.9990147783251231 |
| 7 | 210 | 50 | 15 | 0.9856514594832753 |
| 11 | 2310 | 122 | 135 | 0.9994752040784769 |
| 13 | 30030 | 170 | 1485 | 0.999824267526177 |
| 17 | 510510 | 290 | 22275 | 0.9999976037996607 |
| 19 | 9699690 | 362 | 378675 | 0.9999994514468453 |
| 23 | 223092870 | 530 | 7952175 | 0.9999999955788792 |
| 29 | 6469693230 | 842 | 214708725 | 0.9999999997119475 |
| 31 | 200560490130 | 962 | 6226553025 | 0.9999999921336346 |

To confirm the program results, we use a function that calculate the complementary events probabilities, i.e. the probability that during the $p$ pickings without replacement realised under a uniform discrete law in interval 1..n, all picked numbers would be greater than $k$ according to the formula

$$
\overline{P(n, p, k)}=1-P(n, p, k)=\frac{n-p}{n} \cdot \frac{n-p-1}{n-1} \ldots \frac{n-p-k+1}{n-k+1} .
$$

The probability to obtain a Goldbach decomponent of an even number is equal to 1 above prime number 37 if computation precision is fixed to 20 decimal digits.


This program results are provided in the following table:

| N | n | p | k | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 30 | 3 | 26 | 0.99901477832512319832147796 |
| 7 | 210 | 15 | 50 | 0.98565145948327537173128121 |
| 11 | 2310 | 135 | 122 | 0.99947520407847800782974446 |
| 13 | 30030 | 1485 | 170 | 0.999824267526177770127073982 |
| 17 | 510510 | 22275 | 290 | 0.99999760379966495804637816 |
| 19 | 9699690 | 378675 | 362 | 0.99999945144687962805818415 |
| 23 | 223092870 | 7952175 | 530 | 0.99999999557885699275061597 |
| 29 | 6469693230 | 214708725 | 842 | 0.99999999999954458651529876 |
| 31 | 200560490130 | 6226553025 | 962 | 0.999999999999933388661852249 |
| 37 | 7420738134810 | 217929355875 | 1370 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |
| 41 | 304250263527210 | 8499244879125 | 1682 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |
| 43 | 13082761331670030 | 348469040044125 | 1850 | 1.000000000000000000000000000 |
| 47 | 614889782588491410 | 15681106801985625 | 2210 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |
| 53 | 32589158477190044730 | 799736446901266875 | 2810 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |
| 59 | 1922760350154212639070 | 45584977473372211875 | 3482 | 1.000000000000000000000000000 |
| 61 | 117288381359406970983270 | 2689513670928960500625 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |  |
| 67 | 7858321551080267055879090 | 174818388610382432540625 | 4490 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |
| 71 | 557940830126698960967415390 | 12062468814116387845303125 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |  |
| 73 | 40729680599249024150621323470 | 856435285802263537016521875 | 5330 | 1.000000000000000000000000000 |
| 79 | 3217644767340672907899084554130 | 65945517006774292350272184375 | 6242 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |
| 83 | 267064515689275851355624017992790 | 5341586877548717680372046934375 | 6890 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |
| 89 | 23768741896345550770650537601358310 | 464718058346738438192368083290625 | 7922 | 1.000000000000000000000000000 |
| 97 | 2305567963945518424753102147331756070 | 44148215542940151628274967912609375 | 9410 | 1.00000000000000000000000000 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Leila Schneps wrote this proof that the characterization of those Goldbach decomponents was valid.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Thanks Jacques.

